IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6335 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

PATEL MEHRUNNISHA IBRAHIM

Versus

REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER

Appearance:

MR MID PATEL for Petitioner
MR Ashim Pandya, Addl. Central Govt. Standing counsel for the respondents.

CORAM: MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT Date of decision: 01/09/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule, service of which is waived by Mr Ashim Pandya, Additional Central Government Standing Counsel.

The petitioner wants to get his birth date in the passport corrected from 2.5.59 to 19.4.59 as per the extract of birth date registration, copy whereof is

produced at Annexure C, inter alia, contending that the date of birth incorporated in the passport earlier was on the strength of the school leaving certificate which has incorrect entry of birth date and since the petitioner is desirous of migrating to United States, birth date in the passport and the birth certificate will be different and it will be an impediment in getting the US visa.

After having heard the learned advocates appearing for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances, it is directed that in the event of the petitioner applying for change of birth date in his passport within a period of two weeks from today, along with relevant material and if the concerned Authority of the Regional Passport Office finds it satisfactory and justified that the petitioner has a genuine case for such a change, the concerned Officer may pass appropriate order in accordance with law for the change in the birth date in the passport.

In view of the aforesaid observation, learned advocate for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition and the same is accordingly permitted to be withdrawn. Therefore, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn. Rule discharged.

Direct service.

.

(vjn)